

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

1651 DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO:
09/842,637	04/27/2001	Anthony Robert Milnes Coates	Q-64007	9237
75	590 08/21/2003			· Martin
LAW OFFICES			EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. :		MARX, IRENE		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037-3213	· C	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/842,637	COATES ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Irene Marx	1651			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 23 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a simal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if imply filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2.⊠ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: see attachment.	•	*			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely-filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5.⊠ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>see attachment</u> .					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	• ·	in the second of			
Claim(s) rejected: 2-7 and 9.	•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
0. Other:					
		ř			
		Irene Marx Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1651			

Art Unit: 1651

Note:

The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search with respect to the proposed amendment to claim 9 to replace "stationary phase culture" with "dormant", the deletion of "or a composition comprising said test compound"; the deletion of the optional isolation step, including new issues under 35 U.S.C § 112 and of new matter. New issues requiring further consideration are also raised with respect to antecedent basis in claim 9 regarding "said dormant phase bacteria" in the last line and in claim 3 regarding "said dormant phase culture".

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive.

The arguments pertain to claims that are not entered.

The article by Yu et al. was considered only to the extent that it pertains to "genotypic" and "phenotypic" resistance in Mycobacterium tuberculosis. There is no indication in this article that the touted definition pertains to bacteria other Mycobacterium tuberculosis. Moreover, definitions pertaining to the specific circumstances of infection of mice with the specific bacteria Mycobacterium tuberculosis, having specific persistence properties due to intracellular infection, cannot reasonably be extrapolated to all bacteria, having various unrelated properties.

In any event, the definitions provided in the Yu et al. article are not probative of definitions generally used in this art. It is noted that two of the authors of the paper are inventors in the present application, and that the priority date of this application is prior to the publication date of the paper.

Therefore the rejections are deemed proper and are adhered to.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Irene Marx whose telephone number is (703) 308-2922. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:30 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn, can be reached on (703) 308-4743. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is before final (703) 872-9306 and after final, (703) 872-9307.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Customer Service whose telephone number is (703) 308-0198 or the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Irene Marx
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651